

## REMARKS

The Examiner has requested to fill blank spaces in the application. The serial number of the parent continuation in part application has been introduced in paragraph 1 of the application, thus filling the blanks in the application.

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-19 under 35 USC 112 because in claims 1 and 11 “ it is not clear what is the use of the token”. Claims 1 and 11 have been amended to recite that a token “is used to describe a type of data being generated”, thus describing the use of a token.

Furthermore, the Examiner has rejected claims 1-3 and 10-13 under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Nohmi et al, U.S. Patent 5,341,506.

Applicant has incorporated portions of originally filed claim 4 into claim 1, thereby avoiding the '506 reference. The '506 reference does not describe nor suggest “ digital logic reporting .. one or more tokens.. to a graphical programming environment” where “ said graphical programming environment is compatible with human perception.” Further support for the claim is found in the originally filed specification pages 4-12 and associated drawings, and claims 4 to 10 and 14 to 19.

No new matter is introduced by the above.

**FEE CALCULATION.**

No independent or dependent claims are added. No fee is due.

Issue of this application as amended is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,



Leonard A. Alkov, Attorney for Applicants

Reg. Number 30,021

Tel. No. 310.647.2577

FAX No. 310.647.2616

Raytheon Company

P.O.Box 902 (E4/N119)

El Segundo, CA 90245-0902

**CERTIFICATE of MAILING:**

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.8, I hereby certify that these papers are being mailed by First Class Mail to the Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O.Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Signature: 

DATE: July 20, 2005

Print Name: ELAINE PANOUSIS

